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Abstract

Given a set \( P \) of terminals in the plane and a partition of \( P \) into \( k \) subsets \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \), a two-level rectilinear Steiner tree consists of a rectilinear Steiner tree \( T_i \) connecting the terminals in each set \( P_i (i = 1, \ldots, k) \) and a top-level tree \( T_{\text{top}} \) connecting the trees \( T_1, \ldots, T_k \). The goal is to minimize the total length of all trees. This problem arises naturally in the design of low-power physical implementations of parity functions on a computer chip.

For bounded \( k \) we present a polynomial time approximation scheme (PTAS) that is based on Arora’s PTAS for rectilinear Steiner trees after lifting each partition into an extra dimension.

For the general case we propose an algorithm that predicates a connection point for each \( T_i \) and \( T_{\text{top}} \) \((i = 1, \ldots, k)\). Then, we apply any approximation algorithm for minimum rectilinear Steiner trees in the plane to compute each \( T_i \) and \( T_{\text{top}} \) independently.

This gives us a 2.37-factor approximation with a running time of \( O(|P| \log |P|) \) suitable for fast practical computations. The approximation factor reduces to 1.63 by applying Arora’s approximation scheme in the plane.

1 Introduction

We consider the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem (2RSTP) that arises from an application in VLSI design. Consider the computation of a parity function, this can be realized by an arbitrary binary tree with \( k \) leaves, rooted at the output simply by inserting an XOR-gate at every internal vertex \([11, 12]\). Throughout this paper we consider the parity function as a placeholder for any fan-in function of \( x \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_k \), where \( \circ \) is a symmetric, associative, and commutative 2-input operator, i.e. \( \circ \in \{\oplus, \lor, \land\} \).

On a chip such a tree has to be embedded into the plane and all connections must be realized by rectilinear segments. If each input and the output are single points on the chip, a realization of minimum length and thus power consumption is given by a minimum length rectilinear Steiner tree. This is a tree connecting the inputs and the output by horizontal and vertical line segments using additional so-called Steiner vertices to achieve a shorter length than a minimum spanning tree. At each Steiner vertex of degree three an XOR-gate is placed. Higher degree vertices can be dissolved into degree three vertices sharing their position. Figure 1 shows an example of an embedded parity function on the left.

In practice input signals may be needed for other computations on the chip and thus delivered to other side outputs. Similarly, the result may have to be delivered to multiple output terminals. Thus, each input and its successors and the output terminals must be connected by separate Steiner trees as well. These trees are then connected by a top-level Steiner tree into which the XOR-gates will be inserted. Considering the additional terminals allows to construct a potentially shorter Steiner tree as shown in Figure 1 on the right. Algorithms ignoring the side outputs cannot guarantee an approximation factor better than two, as we will see in Section 2.

This motivates the definition of the minimum two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem, where we are given a set \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) of \( n \) terminals and a partition of \( P \) into \( k \) subsets \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \).

A two-level rectilinear Steiner tree \( T = (T_{\text{top}}, T_1, \ldots, T_k) \) consists of a Steiner tree \( T_i \) for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) connecting the terminals in \( P_i \) and a (group) Steiner tree \( T_{\text{top}} \) connecting the embedded trees \( \{T_1, \ldots, T_k\} \). We call \( T_{\text{top}} \) the top-level tree. Note that all trees are allowed to cross. The objective is to minimize the total length of all trees

\[
l(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} l(T_i) + l(T_{\text{top}}),
\]

where \( l(T') := \sum_{(x, y) \in E(T')} \|x - y\|_1 \) is the \( \ell_1 \)-length

\[\text{Figure 1: On the left, we have two inputs } p_1 \text{ and } p_2 \text{ and a single output } p_3. \text{ The XOR-gate should be placed at the median of the three terminals. If the inputs have the side outputs } p_1', \text{ and } p_2', \text{ the XOR-gate should be placed at } p_3, \text{ saving the horizontal length.}\]
of a Steiner tree $T'$.

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ the top-level tree and $T_i$ intersect in at least one point. We can select one such point $q_i \in T_{top} \cap T_i$ and call it connection point for $T_i$ and $T_{top}$. Then $T_{top}$ is a Steiner tree for the terminals $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ and each $T_i$ is a Steiner tree for $P_i \cup \{q_i\}$.

Obviously, this problem is NP-hard as it contains the minimum rectilinear Steiner tree problem in two ways: if $k = 1$ or if $|P_i| = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Designing the top-level tree as a stand-alone problem is hard. If all subtrees $T_i$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$) are fixed, $T_{top}$ cannot be approximated to arbitrary quality, as the group Steiner tree problem for connected groups in the Euclidean plane cannot be approximated within a factor of $(2 - \epsilon)$ [13]. However we are in a more lucky situation as we can tradeoff the lengths of bottom-level and top-level trees.

To the best of our knowledge the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem has not been considered before despite its practical importance [11, 12]. It is loosely related to the hierarchical network design problems [11, 5, 6] or multi-level facility location problems [3, 4]. However, those problems are structurally different, typically considering problems in graphs, and do not apply to our case.

In [11], ordinary rectilinear Steiner trees were used to build power efficient fan-in trees, when each input and the output consists of a single terminal. In practice designers are also interested in the depth of the constructed circuit [12]. However, for finding good power versus depth tradeoffs a better understanding of short solutions is an essential prerequisite and the aim of our work.

1.1 Our Contribution

In Section 2 we show that the naïve approach of picking a random terminal from each partition as a connection point to the top-level tree and building the bottom-level trees and top-level as separate instances gives as a $2\alpha$-factor approximation, where $\alpha$ is the approximation factor of the used minimum Steiner tree algorithm.

Then in Section 3 we show how to lift our instance into an equivalent $(2+k)$-dimensional rectilinear Steiner tree instance. If the number $k$ of partitions is bounded by a constant, we obtain a PTAS by applying Arora’s PTAS for rectilinear Steiner trees [2].

As our main result we improve the approximation guarantee for unbounded $k$ from $(2 + \epsilon)$ to 1.63 in Section 3. Using spanning tree heuristics this approach turns also into a fast practical algorithm with running time $O(n \log n)$ and approximation factor 2.37.

2 Simple Bottom-Up Construction

A simple bottom-up approach, which works for any metric space, is to compute a Steiner tree $T_i$ for $P_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). In each $T_i$ we fix a connection point $q_i \in P_i$ arbitrarily, compute a Steiner tree $T_{top}$ for $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$, and return $T = (T_{top}, T_1, \ldots, T_k)$.

**Theorem 1** The simple bottom-up approach is a $2\alpha$-factor approximation algorithm for the minimum two-level Steiner tree problem, if we use an $\alpha$-factor approximation algorithm for the minimum Steiner tree problem as a subroutine.

**Proof.** Let $T$ be the two level Steiner tree computed by the simple bottom-up approach and let $T^* = (T_{top}, T_1, \ldots, T_k)$ be a minimum two-level Steiner tree. Let be $q_i^* \in T_{top} \cap T_i$ the connection point of the optimum two-level Steiner tree. Since $T^*$ is a Steiner tree on $\{q_i^*\} \cup P_i$, we have $\text{dist}(q_i^*, q_i) \leq l(T_i)$. Thus,

$$l(T) \leq \alpha \cdot l(T_{top}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{dist}(q_i^*, q_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha \cdot l(T_i)$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot l(T_{top}^*) + 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{k} l(T_i^*) \leq 2\alpha \cdot l(T^*).$$

Figure 2 shows that the factor $(2 + \epsilon)$ is sharp. For the instance $P_1 = \{(0,0), (1,0)\}$, $P_2 = \{(0,0), (-1,0)\}$ a minimum two-level rectilinear Steiner tree of length 2 is shown on the left with $l(T_{top}) = 0$. On the right, a bad choice of connection points and minimum Steiner trees $T_{top}$, $T_1$, and $T_3$ yield a total length of 4.

3 PTAS for a bounded number of partitions

We can reduce the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem in the plane to an ordinary rectilinear Steiner tree problem in a higher dimensional space, where we can apply Arora’s PTAS [2].

The idea of the PTAS is to lift every subset $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ to an additional dimension. We assume $k > 1$. Otherwise the two-level Steiner tree problem is an ordinary Steiner tree problem. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the subsets of a two-level Steiner tree instance, we define a Steiner tree instance in $\mathbb{R}^{2+k}$. The set of terminals $P'$ is comprised as follows.

For each original terminal $x \in P_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$), we add a terminal $x' := (x, K \cdot e_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2+k}$, where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the unit vector with value one at the $i$-th coordinate and $K$ is a large constant, e.g. we could choose $K$ as $l(B(P))$. Now for $x \in P_k$ and $y \in P_l$ the distance of their high dimensional copies $x', y' \in P'$ is $\|x' - y'\| = \|x - y\| + 2K \|e_i\| = \|x - y\| + 2K \delta_{hi},$
where $\delta_{h,i}$ is one if $h = i$ and zero otherwise. An example of a lifted two-level Steiner tree is given in Figure 3.

A $(k + 2)$-dimensional Steiner tree is called flat if all Steiner points have either the form $(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2+k}$ or $(x, K \cdot e_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2+k}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a unit vector. The following Lemma has essentially been proven by Snyder [10], who shows that an optimum unit vector. The following Lemma has essentially been proven by Snyder [10], who shows that an optimum

Lemma 2 A $(k + 2)$-dimensional Steiner Tree $T$ for $P'$ of length $l(T)$ can be transformed in strongly polynomial time into a $(k + 2)$-dimensional flat Steiner tree $T'$ of length at most $l(T)$.

The following lemma shows the equivalence between the original two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem in the plane and the lifted regular rectilinear Steiner tree problem.

Lemma 3 If $k > 1$, a two-level rectilinear Steiner tree $T$ for $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ of length $l(T)$ can be transformed into a $(k + 2)$-dimensional Steiner tree $T'$ for $P'$ of length at most $l(T) + kK$ and vice versa.

Proof. We only sketch the proof, details can be found in [5]. We get $T'$ by connecting the lifted components of $T$ at its connection points by an edge of length $K$. Conversely, we flat $T$ by applying Lemma 2 and replacing edges, s. t. for each $j \in \{3, \ldots, k + 2\}$, $T'$ contains at most one edge in direction $j$, without increasing the length of the tree. Projecting the edges onto the first two coordinates we obtain a feasible two-level Steiner tree of length at most $l(T') - kK$.

Theorem 4 For bounded $k$ there is a PTAS for the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem.

Proof. Choose $K = l(B(P))$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ set $\epsilon' := \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon}$. Then compute an $(1 + \epsilon')$-approximate $(k + 2)$-dimensional Steiner tree $T'$ for the lifted terminal set $P'$ with Arora’s PTAS [2] that has a polynomial running in bounded dimension. Then we apply Lemma 3 to obtain a two-level Steiner tree $T = (T_{top, 1}, \ldots, T_k)$ for $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ with length at most $l(T') - kK$. Let $T^*$ and $T^*$ be optimum Steiner trees for $P'$ and $P$. Since $l(T^*) \geq K$ the length of $T$ is

$$l(T) \leq l(T') - kK \leq (1 + \epsilon')l(T^*) - kK \leq (1 + \epsilon')l(T^*) + (1 + \epsilon)kK - kK \leq (1 + (k + 1)\epsilon')l(T^*) = (1 + \epsilon)l(T^*).$$

4 Predetermined Connection Points

In all algorithms of this section we predetermine a connection point $q_i$ for each set $P_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$) and then call a Steiner tree approximation algorithm for $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ to get $T_{top}$ and $P_1 \cup \{q_i\}$ to get $T_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). We use the fact that we consider rectilinear instances to obtain better approximation factors than in Section 2.

4.1 Bounding Box Center

A natural approach is to choose each connection point as the center of the bounding box $B(P_i)$.

Theorem 5 Using bounding box centers as connection points, we get a 1.75$\alpha$-factor approximation algorithm for the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem, when using an $\alpha$-factor approximation algorithm for rectilinear Steiner trees as a subroutine.

A detailed analysis can be found in [8], which also provides a simple example attaining this factor.

4.2 Adjusted Bounding Box Center

We can improve the approximation factor by a more careful choice of the connection point. For a set $P_i$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$), we call the coordinate system with origin in the central point of its bounding box the coordinate system of $P_i$.

If a set $P_i$ of terminals contains an element in each quadrant of its bounding box $B(P_i)$, we call the bounding box $B(P_i)$ complete. For subtrees with a complete bounding box we choose the connection point to the top-level tree as the central point of the bounding box as in Section 4.1 and compute a Steiner tree $T'_i$ for $P_i \cup \{q_i\}$ as follows: We compute a Steiner tree $T'_i$ for $P_i$. Thereby, we embed maximal paths in $T'_i$ containing only Steiner vertices with degree two so that each such path has minimum distance to $q_i$ while preserving its length. We then add an edge from $q_i$ to $a_i$, where $a_i$ is a point in $T'_i$ minimizing the distance to $q_i$.

Let $T^* = \{T_{top}^*, T_1^*, \ldots, T_k^*\}$ be an minimum two-level Steiner tree. Again we choose $T_{top}^*$ under all minimum two-level Steiner trees as large as possible so that there is a connection point $q_i^* \in T_{top}^* \cap T_i^* \subseteq B(P_i)$.

We present the main ingredient for the analysis of the approximation ratio.
Lemma 6 Let \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) and \( T'_i, a_i \) be constructed as above. If \( B(P_i) \) is complete, then \( l(B(P_i)) + \|q_i - a_i\|_1 \leq l(T'_i) \).

Proof. Define \( h := \|q_i - a_i\|_1 \). Since \( B(P_i) \) is complete, \( T'_i \) intersects at least three of the four axes of the coordinate system to \( P_i \). We assume w.l.o.g. that \( T'_i \) intersects the left, upper and right axis.

By the choice of \( T'_i \) and \( h \) there exist (see also Figure 4) for all \( z \in [0, h] \)
\[
p \in \{(x, y) \in P_i : x \leq -h, y \leq 0\},
\]
\[
p' \in \{(x, y) \in P_i : x \geq h, y \leq 0\},
\]
\[
u \in \{(x, y) \in P_i : x < 0, y \geq h\},
\]
\[
v_z \in V_z := \{(x, y) \in P_i : x \geq z, y \geq h - z\}.
\]

Let \( v_h \in V_h \). If the unique \( T'_i \)-paths from \( p \) to \( v_h \) intersect, then \( T'_i \) connects the lines \( \{(x, y) : y = 0\} \) and \( \{(x, y) : y = h - z\} \) are connected twice to \( T'_i \). Therefore we get \( l(B(P_i)) + h - z \leq l(T'_i) \).

If \( z = 0 \) are done. Otherwise, if our statement is false there is an \( 0 < \epsilon \leq z \) such that \( \epsilon = l(B(P_i)) + h - \frac{z}{2} \leq l(T'_i) \). Since the unique \( T'_i \)-paths from \( p \) to \( u \) and from \( p' \) to \( w \) are disjoint, \( T'_i \) connects the lines \( \{(x, y) : x = 0\} \) and \( \{(x, y) : x = h - \frac{z}{2}\} \) twice. Therefore we get the contradiction
\[
\epsilon = l(B(P_i)) + h - l(T'_i) \\
\leq l(B(P_i)) + h - l(B(P_i)) - h + z - z + \frac{z}{2} = \frac{z}{2}.
\]

With Lemma 6 we tradeoff between the cost \( \|q_i - q_{i+1}\|_1 \leq l(B(P_i)) \) to connect \( q_i \) to \( T^*_{i} \) and the cost \( \|q_i - a_i\|_1 \) to connect \( q_i \) to \( T'_i \). From this we could derive an approximation factor of \( 13/8 \) if all partitions are complete. In general this is not the case and for incomplete bounding boxes we shift the connection points (and the coordinate system) towards the actual terminals as in Figure 5. A careful analysis using a similar version of Lemma 6 on the shifted coordinate system (details can be found in [S]) gives us the following result:

Theorem 7 There is a 2.37-factor approximation algorithm with runtime \( \mathcal{O}(n \log n) \) and an 1.63-factor approximation algorithm in poly-time for the two-level rectilinear Steiner tree problem.
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