

CR-Cover (2)

Monday, June 6, 2016 11:20 AM

Recall (CIP) $\min \{c^T x : Ax \geq b, 0 \leq x \leq d, x \text{ int}\}$

Want to solve

$$s \in N^n$$

\uparrow $\max_{0,1}$

(CCIP)

$$\min c^T x$$

s.t.

$$Ax \geq b \leftarrow N^n$$

$$0 \leq x \leq d$$

$$\uparrow N^n$$

$$F[s]_{ij} = A_{ij} \cdot s_j$$

Q: How well can we approximate CCIP ;)
CIP can be approximated well?

Note: CCIP can be harder than underlying CIP

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t. } \sum x \geq b \\ & \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

is easy, but CCIP
is equiv. to min Knapsack

Underlying CIP

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t. } Ax \geq b \\ & \quad 0 \leq x \leq d \\ & \quad x \text{ int} \end{aligned}$$

Underlying PCIP

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{s.t. } F(s, \pi)x \geq \pi \\ & \quad 0 \leq x \leq d \\ & \quad x \text{ int} \end{aligned}$$

$$F(s, \pi)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1: & A_{ij} = 1 \& \\ & s_j \geq \pi_i \\ 0: & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thm CCIP has $O(\lambda + \beta)$ -apx ;)

underlying CIP and PCIP have int-gap
 δ, β .

Canonical LP form CCP is bad

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{s.t. } & \sum_{j \in S} x_j \geq d \\ & 0 \leq x \leq d \end{aligned}$$

Knapsack instance:
 Items $[n]$, each $j \in [n]$
 has size $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$
 and cost $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$

EX

$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{s.t. } & \sum_{j \in S} x_j \geq n \\ & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{aligned}$	$c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} = 0 \quad c_n = 1$ $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} = 1 \quad s_n = n$ $\text{opt}_{CP} = 1 \quad \text{opt}_{LP} = \frac{1}{n}$
---	---

Strength the canonical LP

Replace $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i \quad \forall i \in [m]$

by Knapsack Conv inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{Fij} x_j \geq b_i^F \quad \forall F \subseteq [n], i \in [m]$$

$$0 \leq x \leq d$$

Now: $b_i^F = \max \{0, b_i - \sum_{j \in F} a_{ij} d_j\}$

$$a_{Fij} = \begin{cases} \min \{a_{ij}, b_i^F\} & : j \notin F \\ 0 & : j \in F \end{cases}$$

In EX $F = \{1, \dots, n-1\} \quad b^F = n - s(F) = 1$

$$s_n^F = \min \{ n, b_F \} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n \geq 1$$

Bummer don't know how to solve strengthened LP

Can $x^* \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ an α -relaxed soln to strengthened LP

(for $\alpha \in [0,1]$) if $c^T x^* \leq \text{opt}_P$ and

opt strengthened LP rel

$$\sum_{j \in [n]} A^F[s]_{ij} x_j^* \geq b_i^F \quad \forall i \in [m]$$

and $F = \{ j \in [n] : x_j^* \geq \alpha \cdot d_j \}$ ⊗

Thm [Car, Fleisch, Lenng, Phillips '00]

α -relaxed soln's to strengthened LP can be computed efficiently.

Pj - sketch use the Ellipsoid method.

Start with original CCIP relaxation without

Knapsack conv LP.

For candidate pt x^* check Knapsack

conv ineq for F as in ⊕.

Sat \Rightarrow terminate

\Downarrow n

add cut for F . This gives relaxed feasibility.

Use binary search to optimize. ⊗

So: Let x^* be an α -relaxed soln to strong. LP

↑
1/24

$$F = \{ j : x_j^* \geq \alpha d_j \}$$

Supp x^{int} feasible for residual prob:

$$\textcircled{Rw} \quad \begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax \geq b \\ & 0 \leq x \leq d \end{aligned}$$

Note: Let $\bar{x}_j = \begin{cases} x_j^* & : j \notin F \\ 0 & : \text{others} \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in [n]$

$\Rightarrow \bar{x}$ feas for \textcircled{Rw}

Lemma 1 Let x^* be α -relaxed soln for strengthened CCIP LP. Let x^{int} be int feas for \textcircled{Rw} s.t. $c^T x^{\text{int}} \leq \beta c^T \bar{x}$ and let

$$z_j = \begin{cases} d_j & : j \in F \\ x_j^{\text{int}} & : j \notin F \end{cases} \quad \forall j \in [n]$$

The z is feasible for orig CCIP instance and

$$O(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \beta) - \text{apx.}$$

Pf: easy from obj of \textcircled{Rw} and α -relax \square

Todo Approximate residual probk \Rightarrow w/c small integrality gap of underlying 0,1-CIP/PCIP

Technical prelude: may assume that b_i^F and s_j are powers of 2 $\forall i, j$

\bar{b}_i : smallest power $\geq b_i^F \quad \forall i$
 ≥ 2

\bar{s}_j : largest power of 2 $\leq s_j \quad \forall j$

s_j : largest power of 2 $\leq s_j \forall j$

Lemma 2 $y = 4\bar{x}$ is feasible for

(Res²)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{s.t. } & AF[\bar{s}]x \geq \bar{J} \\ & 0 \leq x \leq 4\alpha d \end{aligned}$$

Pj: easy

Plan Consider row $i \in [m]$ and partition cols:

$$[i\text{-large cols}] \quad L = \{ j \in [n] : A_{ij} = 1, \bar{s}_j \geq \bar{J}_i \}$$

$$[i\text{-small cols}] \quad S = \{ j \in [n] : A_{ij} = 1, \bar{s}_j < \bar{J}_i \}$$

Call a row $i \in [m]$ large if

$$\sum_{j \in S_i} AF[\bar{s}]_{ij} y_j \leq \sum_{j \in L_i} AF[\bar{s}]_{ij} y_j$$

Call row i small othw.

Then Find pair $x^{int, L}$ and $x^{int, S}$ of integral solutions for large & small rows respectively.

$$x_j^{int} = \max \{ x_j^{int, L}, x_j^{int, S} \}$$

$\Rightarrow x^{int}$ is final feas. soln

(I)

Small rows

Computing $x^{int, S}$

Let i be a small row and hence

$$\textcircled{X} \quad 2 \cdot \sum_{j \in S_i^c} M^F[\bar{s}]_{ij} y_j \geq \bar{b}_i$$

$$\bar{s}_{\max} := \max_{j \in [n]} \bar{s}_j \quad \bar{s}^{(t)} = \frac{\bar{s}_{\max}}{2^t}$$

Let $C^{(t)} = \{ j \in [n] : \bar{s}_j = \bar{s}^{(t)} \}$
be the set of columns
with supply $\bar{s}^{(t)}$.

Note: Let $t_i = \log\left(\frac{s_{\max}}{\bar{b}_i}\right) + 1$

i -small columns are in $\bigcup_{t \geq t_i} C^{(t)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_j = \frac{s_{\max}}{2^t} < \bar{b}_i \Rightarrow t > \underbrace{\log \frac{s_{\max}}{\bar{b}_i}}_{\text{int}} \\ \Rightarrow t > \left(\log \frac{s_{\max}}{\bar{b}_i}\right) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then define the class- t supply in row i as

$$\bar{b}_i^{(t)} = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \sum_{j \in C^{(t)}} M^F[\bar{s}]_{ij} y_j & : t \geq t_i \\ 0 & : t < t_i \end{cases}$$

$$\textcircled{X} \Rightarrow \sum_{t \geq 0} \bar{b}_i^{(t)} \geq \bar{b}_i$$

Grouping Focus on group $\mathcal{C}^{(t)}$ of columns
for $t \geq 0$. Then

$$6 \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} \bar{a}_{ij} b_j \geq \left\lfloor \frac{3\bar{b}_i^{(t)}}{\bar{s}^{(t)}} \right\rfloor$$

see below

Clearly true if $t < t_i$; as then $\bar{b}_i^{(t)} = 0$.

So suppose $t \geq t_i$. By def:

$$2 \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} \bar{a}_{ij} [\bar{s}] b_j = \bar{b}_i^{(t)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 6 \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} \bar{a}_{ij} b_j = \frac{3\bar{b}_i^{(t)}}{\bar{s}^{(t)}}$$

Consider

(P_t)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} \bar{a}_{ij} x_j \geq \left\lfloor \frac{3\bar{b}_i^{(t)}}{\bar{s}^{(t)}} \right\rfloor \quad \forall \text{ small } i \end{aligned} \quad (\text{i})$$

$$0 \leq x \leq d \quad (\text{ii})$$

and note that $b_y = 24 \cdot \bar{x}$ satisfies (i)
by above argument.

Also since $\bar{x}_j \leq d_j/24$, b_y satisfies (ii)
as well.

Assumption $\Rightarrow \exists$ integral soln \hat{x}^t
sat (i) and (ii) and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} c_j \hat{x}^t &\leq 6 \cdot 3 \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} c_j b_j \\ &= 24 \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}^{(t)}} c_j \bar{x}_j \end{aligned}$$

Assemble solution for small t :

$$x_j^{\text{int}, \bar{s}} = \hat{x}_j^t \quad \text{if } j \in e^{(t)}$$

Lemma 3 $x^{\text{int}, \bar{s}}$ has cost $\leq 24n C^T \bar{x}$

and for each small row i :

$$\sum_{t \geq t_i} \sum_{j \in e^{(t)}} A_{ij} \bar{s}^{(t)} x_j^{\text{int}, \bar{s}} \geq \bar{b}_i;$$

Pf.: Cost part is immediate. Feasibility inherently uses scaling factor 3 introduced in grouping step above. (\rightarrow rhs of (i) in P_t)

Rather technical and not interesting step
 \Rightarrow see paper \blacksquare

II Large Rows

recall: $i \in [m]$ is large if

$$\bar{b}_i \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{j \in Z_i} A^F[\bar{s}]_{ij} y_j$$



$$\bar{s}_j \geq \bar{b}_i \Rightarrow A^F[\bar{s}]_{ij} = \bar{b}_i$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2 \cdot \sum_{j \in Z_i} A_{ij} \cdot y_j \geq 1$$

So: $2y$ is feasible for

(L)

$$\min C^T x$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{j \in Z_i} A_{ij} x_j \geq 1 \quad \forall \text{large } i \in [m]$$

$$x \geq 0 \quad \text{equiv: } \sum A[\bar{s}, \bar{b}]_{ii} x_i \geq 1$$

$$\overbrace{x \geq 0}^{\text{def}} \quad \text{equiv: } \sum_{j \in [n]} R[\bar{s}, \bar{\delta}]_{ij} x_j \geq 1$$

\uparrow
This is a priority covering probk.

DJ assumption: \exists integral soln $x^{\text{int}, 2}$ s.t.

$$c^T x^{\text{int}, 2} \leq c^T y = \begin{cases} b = 4\bar{x} \\ 2y \text{ feasible for relax} \end{cases}$$

Completes p1: $x^{\text{int}} = \max \{x^{\text{int}, 1}, x^{\text{int}, 2}\}$
 is feasible and $O(n + \delta)$ -apt for
Relax

(Relax)