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## Motivation \& Background

bounded subdeterminant IPs - successes
in the bimodular case - new results


Integer Linear Programming (IP)
Given $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$, and $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, solve $\min \left\{c^{\top} x: A x \leqslant b, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$.
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An interesting class of efficiently solvable IPs
A totally unimodular (TU) $\quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ Integral relaxation.

What if minors, in absolute value, are still bounded, but not by $1 ?$

## Bounded subdeterminants

$\square$

## $\Delta$-modular Integer Programming

Given a constant $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, can integer linear programs
$\min \left\{c^{\top} x: A x \leq b, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$
with $\Delta$-modular constraint matrix $A$ be solved efficiently?

- $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ is $\Delta$-modular if
$\rightarrow \operatorname{rank}(A)=n$, and
$\rightarrow$ absolute values of $n \times n$ subdeterminants are bounded by $\Delta$
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$$

with $\Delta$-modular constraint matrix $A$ be solved efficiently?

- $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ is $\Delta$-modular if
$\rightarrow \operatorname{rank}(A)=n$, and
$\rightarrow$ absolute values of $n \times n$ subdeterminants are bounded by $\Delta$
- $\Delta$-modularity is more general than total $\Delta$-modularity

Known results
$\checkmark \Delta=1$ : easy
$\checkmark \Delta=2$ : Bimodular Integer Programming (BIP)
[Artmann, Weismantel, and Zenklusen, STOC 2017]
$\checkmark$ Arbitrary constant $\Delta$, at most 2 non-zeros per row
[Fiorini, Joret, Weltge, and Yuditsky, FOCS 2021]


## Challenge: Generalize!
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$\min \left\{c^{\top} x: \Delta x \leqslant b, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$
A bimodular.
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$\Delta$-modular integer programming
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## Base Block Problems

Seymour's decomposition - network matrices - the two cases

For every TU matrix $T \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times n}$, one of the following applies:
(i) $T$ is, possibly after row/column permutations and a pivot, of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & e f^{\top} \\
g h^{\top} & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}A & e & e \\ h^{\top} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}B & g & g \\ f^{\top} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ are TU.
(ii) $T$ is essentially equal to one of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$


(iii) $T$ or $T^{\top}$ is a network matrix.

## Network matrices



$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Network matrices


$e_{1}$
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$e_{3}$
$e_{4}$
$e_{5}$
$e_{6}$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr}a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right\}$

Tree $T=(V, E)$, extra arcs $A$.
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## CCTU with transposed network matrices

"the cut baseblock"

| CCTU with transp. netw. matrix |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\min \left\{\tilde{c}^{\top} y: T y \leqslant b, \gamma^{\top} y \equiv r(\bmod m)\right\}$ <br> with transposed network matrix $T$ |$\rightarrow$| Congruency-constrained min (di-)cut |
| :---: |
| $\min _{C \subseteq V}\left\{\left\|\delta^{+}(C)\right\|: \delta^{-}(C)=\emptyset, \gamma(C) \equiv r(\bmod m)\right\}$ <br> on digraph $G=(V, A)$ with $\gamma: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. |
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## Algorithm

## Theorem

Congruency-constrained min-cut is polytime solvable for constant prime power $m$.
$\checkmark$ Guess $m-1$ elements in- and outside OPT

- Solve corresp. unconstrained min cut problem

Return best cong-constraint feasible solution

## Proof idea:

- Failing guesses give structured set system.
- Such systems cannot exist for prime power $m$.

Congruency-constrained lattice feasibility
In $G=(V, A)$ with $\gamma: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, find
$C \subsetneq V: \delta^{-}(C)=\emptyset, \gamma(C) \equiv r(\bmod m)$.

## Theorem

Congruency-constr. lattice feasibility can be decided in poly time for constant $m$.








## CCTU with network matrices

"the circulation baseblock"
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Finding congruency-constrained cycles is hard for $m>2$ !
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## Open questions

## Open questions



Do we need to go through Seymour's decomposition?

## $\Delta$-modular integer programming

$$
\min \left\{c^{\top} x: A x \leqslant b, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}
$$



- Beyond $m=p^{\alpha}$ for cut optimization?
- Deterministic approach for circulations?

$$
A \text { is } \Delta \text {-modular. }
$$

Congruency-Constr. TU Prb. (CCTU)


